

COMPUTATIONAL POPULATION GENETICS — EXERCISE SHEET 6

1. (For this exercise you can already use what you are supposed to prove in the next exercise.) You bought a big sack of red, blue and yellow candies, and you wonder what fractions of candies are red, blue or yellow, respectively. Now you draw five candies randomly out of the sack and you notice that two of them are red, two are blue, and one is yellow.
 - If all three colors have the same probability, how probable is this observation?
 - Your prior assumptions about the distribution of frequencies (before you drew the five candies) was a Dirichlet distribution with parameter $(3, 3, 3)$. Specify the posterior distribution after the observation.
 - Calculate the posterior expectation vector of frequencies of the three colors.
 - Calculate the likelihood ratio of the possible candy color frequency distributions $(0.4, 0.4, 0.2)$ and $(1/3, 1/3, 1/3)$.

2. Prove the following property of the Dirichlet distribution family:

Let $N = (n_1, \dots, n_K)$ be multinomially distributed with (unknown) probabilities $P = (p_1, \dots, p_K)$, i.e.

$$\Pr(N = (n_1, \dots, n_m)) = \frac{(n_1 + n_2 + \dots + n_k)!}{n_1! \cdot n_2! \cdot \dots \cdot n_k!} \prod_{i=1}^k p_i^{n_i}.$$

If the prior distribution of P is $\mathcal{D}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k)$, then the posterior distribution of P given $N = (n_1, \dots, n_k)$ is

$$\mathcal{D}(\lambda_1 + n_1, \dots, \lambda_k + n_k).$$

3. Consider a microsatellite evolution model with the following properties:
 - the equilibrium distribution of the repeat numbers is approximately normal with given mean μ and variance σ^2
 - Some mutations increase or decrease the number of repeats by one
 - Other mutations lead to a repeat number that is sampled from the equilibrium, independently of the previous state

Develop a program that reads a tree in newick format, simulates the evolution of microsatellite repeat numbers along the tree and outputs the repeat numbers corresponding to the tips of the tree.

4. Simulate data and test the STRUCTURE software for several conditions (with and without admixture, with and without information about the sampling locations). In particular, consider two situations:
 - (a) Three subpopulations have been separated for many generations and recently started to exchange migrants.
 - (b) Three subpopulations arose from a common ancestral population many generations ago, but there has always been some amount of gene flow between the populations. (Also try with more than three subpopulations.)

- (c) There are $N > 5$ subpopulations $1, 2, \dots, N$, and there has always been gene flow. But direct gene flow between subpopulations i and j happened only if $|i - j| = 1$.

Also try STRUCTURE runs assuming more subpopulations (K) than assumed when simulating the data.

5. With appropriately simulated data compare results and run-times of the snmf command from the bioconductor R package LEA to those of STRUCTURE.