Multivariate Statistics in Ecology and Quantitative Genetics Canonical correspondence analysis

Dirk Metzler & Noémie Becker

http://evol.bio.lmu.de/_statgen

Summer semester 2014

Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

Contents



Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

Given: Data frames/matrices *Y* and *X* $Y[i, \cdot]$ are the observations of species i $Y[\cdot, j]$ are the observations at site j *X* are the explanatory variables

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQ@

Given: Data frames/matrices Y and X

- $Y[i, \cdot]$ are the observations of species i
- $Y[\cdot, j]$ are the observations at site j
- X are the explanatory variables
- Goal: Find associations of species abundancies and sites with each environmental condition on a site being a linear combination of the environmental variables of X.

Given: Data frames/matrices Y and X $Y[i, \cdot]$ are the observations of species i $Y[\cdot, j]$ are the observations at site j

X are the explanatory variables

Goal: Find associations of species abundancies and sites with each environmental condition on a site being a linear combination of the environmental variables of *X*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Assumption: There is a niche dependence of the species on environmental factors

Given: Data frames/matrices Y and X $Y[i, \cdot]$ are the observations of species i $Y[\cdot, j]$ are the observations at site j

X are the explanatory variables

Goal: Find associations of species abundancies and sites with each environmental condition on a site being a linear combination of the environmental variables of *X*.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ののの

Assumption: There is a niche dependence of the species on environmental factors

Contents



Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

Let *Y* have *M* rows and *N* columns and let *X* have *M* rows and *Q* columns. The site scores (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_M) in the Gaussion response model

$$m{Y}[i,k] pprox m{C}_k \exp\Big(-rac{(l_i-u_k)}{2t_k^2}\Big)$$

are now assumed to be a linear combination of our environmental variables, that is,

٦

$$I_i = \sum_{p=1}^{Q} X[i, p] \alpha[p].$$

Let *Y* have *M* rows and *N* columns and let *X* have *M* rows and *Q* columns. The site scores (I_1, I_2, \dots, I_M) in the Gaussion response model

$$\mathcal{Y}[i,k] pprox \mathcal{C}_k \exp \Big(- rac{(l_i - u_k)}{2t_k^2} \Big)$$

are now assumed to be a linear combination of our environmental variables, that is,

$$I_i = \sum_{p=1}^{Q} X[i,p] \alpha[p].$$

Canonical correspondence analysis is realized by a correspondence analysis in which weighted multiple regression is used to represent the axes as linear combination of the explanatory variables.

So CCA is a CA with the axes being linear combinations of the explanatory variables.

Contents



Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

The species scores, the site scores and the environmental scores are plotted in a figure called a triplot (confer with triplots in RDA). These triplots are the biplots from CA with additionally the explanatory variables plotted as lines.

Again the position of a species represents the optimum value in terms of the Gausian response model (niche) along the first and second axes. For this reason, species scores are represented as labels or points.

(ロ) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

The species scores, the site scores and the environmental scores are plotted in a figure called a triplot (confer with triplots in RDA). These triplots are the biplots from CA with additionally the explanatory variables plotted as lines.

Again the position of a species represents the optimum value in terms of the Gausian response model (niche) along the first and second axes. For this reason, species scores are represented as labels or points.

In addition: Species can be projected perpendicular (=orthogonally) on the lines showing the species optima of the respective explanatory variables (in the respective scaling). Projecting sites perpendicular on the lines results in the values of the respective environmental variable at those sites.

The angle between lines does NOT represent correlation between the variables. Instead if the tip of a line is projected on another line or an axis then the resulting value represents a weighted correlation.

Contents



Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

Meaning of the Variables

Variable names with small letters are shortcuts for plant families. ALTITUDE Altitude above sea level in meters FIELDSLOPE Field slope in degrees AGE Time since forest clearing; index from 1-8 representing ages from 6 to 40 years CATTLEINTENSITY number of cattle per hectar PLAGUE Nominal: 0=no plague, 1=plague (herbivore insects) in the year before sampling MAXVEGHEIGHT in centimeter BLOCK Nominal: 1=grama pastures in Balzapote, 2=star pastures in Balzapote, 3=grama pastures in La Palma, 2=star pastures in La Palma

・ロト ・ 同 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・ うへつ

(many more variables in original data set)

```
mplants<-read.table("MexicanPlants.txt",h=T,sep="\t")
species<-mplants[,c("ac","as","co","com","cy","eu",
                      "grcyn","grresto","la","le","ma",
                     "ru","so","vi","vit")]
env_var<-mplants[,c("MAXVEGHEIGHT","AGE",
                     "ALTITUDE","BAREDSOIL")]
library(vegan)
mplants_CCA<-cca(species, env_var)</pre>
```

the total variation in the data is: 0.33
round(mplants_CCA\$tot.chi, 2)

the sum of all canonical eigenvalues: 0.15
round(mplants_CCA\$CCA\$tot.chi, 2)

the first two (canonical) eigenvalues are: 0.10, 0.02
round(mplants_CCA\$CCA\$eig[1:2], 2)

but this is (the first two canonical axes explain): cat(round(sum(mplants_CCA\$cCA\$eig[1:2])

/mplants_CCA\$tot.chi*100), "%", "\n")
37% of the total variation in the data

plot(mplants_CCA, scaling = 2, main="Scaling2")
plot(mplants_CCA, scaling = 1, main="Scaling1")



Scaling = 2

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ



Scaling = 1

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへぐ

Contents



Canonical correspondence analysis

- Setting
- Mathematical background
- The CCA triplot
- Example: Mexican plant data
- When to use PCA, RDA, CA or CCA

When PCA, RDA, CA, CCA?

Summary of methods:

- Relationships in PCA and RDA are linear.
- In RDA and CCA two sets of variables are used, and a cause-effect relationship is asssumed.

When PCA, RDA, CA, CCA?

Summary of methods:

- Relationships in PCA and RDA are linear.
- In RDA and CCA two sets of variables are used, and a cause-effect relationship is asssumed.

	Pure ordination	Cause-effect relation
Linear model	PCA	RDA
Unimodal model	CA	CCA